

Incidence and outcome of high on-treatment platelet reactivity in patients with non-ST elevation acute coronary syndromes undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (from the VIP [VerifyNow and Inhibition of Platelet Reactivity] study)

Saia F, Marino M, Campo G, Valgimigli M, Guastaroba P, Taglieri N, Tondi S, Manari A, Guiducci V, Sangiorgio P, Varani E, Magnavacchi P, De Palma R, Marzocchi A.

High residual platelet reactivity (RPR) on clopidogrel treatment has been associated with increased risk for ischemic events during follow-up in patients with acute coronary syndromes. The aim of this study was to assess the incidence, predictors, and clinical consequences of high RPR in a large population of patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention and stenting. Overall, 833 patients received point-of-care testing of platelet inhibition 30 days after percutaneous coronary intervention. High RPR was diagnosed on the basis of P2Y_{1/2} reaction units >230. The incidence and predictors of death, myocardial infarction, stroke, and serious bleeding events were assessed up to 1 year from the day of testing. P2Y_{1/2} reaction units were normally distributed, and 264 patients were classified as poor responders (31.7%). Independent predictors of response to clopidogrel were male gender (odds ratio [OR] 1.51), age (OR 0.96), diabetes mellitus (OR 0.51), and use of proton pump inhibitors (OR 0.59). At 1 year, poor responders showed higher rates of death (4.6% vs 1.9%, $p = 0.032$) and serious bleeding events (4.9% vs 1.8%, $p = 0.009$) compared with good responders. After adjustment for confounders, high RPR did not emerge as an independent predictor of mortality (OR 0.57, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.23 to 1.42, $p = 0.23$) or serious bleeding events (OR 0.61, 95% CI 0.25 to 1.52, $p = 0.29$). The results did not change using the a cut-off value for P2Y_{1/2} reaction units of 208. In conclusion, 1/3 of patients with acute coronary syndromes who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention and stenting showed high on-treatment RPR on bedside monitoring. They had a worse prognosis, but the level of platelet inhibition was not independently associated with the incidence of ischemic or bleeding events.